



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/596,442	06/19/2000	Matthew R Perkins	CM03017J	4005
James A Lamb	7590 02/15/2007	EXAMINER		
Motorola Inc Intellectual Property Section Law Department 8000 West Sunrise Boulevard Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33322			LY, NGHI H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			*	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE DELIVE		Y MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	02/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/596,442	PERKINS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nghi H. Ly	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the second se	his action is non-final. wance except for formal ma	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-17 is/are pending in the day Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers	drawn from consideration.		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cortain	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey- rection is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a light service.	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 and 12-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 1, the claim recites "wherein each group of radios are arranged to communicate over <u>different</u> communication channels" and "the reconfigured radio grouping are arranged to share <u>different</u> respective communication channels".

However, applicant's specification, page 2, lines 18-19, discloses that "The radios within a subgroup then <u>share a communication channel</u>". Therefore, the claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to

Art Unit: 2617

reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishikawa et al (US 5,666,655) in view of Ueda (US 5,606,727).

Regarding claim 1, Ishikawa teaches a method for accessing a radio communication system having a plurality of radios (see column 4, lines 54-57), comprising the steps of: (a) separating the plurality of radios into two or more groups (column 22, lines 18-20, see "dividing"), wherein each group of radios are arranged to communicate over <u>different</u> communication channels (see fig. 1, where two mobile stations 12 communicate with base stations 11 over different communication channels. In addition, see column 7, lines 34-47), (b) gathering a communication statistic on the plurality of radios (Ishikawa, column 22, lines 18-38, see "dividing the mobile stations into a plurality of groups according to the features of the mobile stations such as <u>distances</u>." However, column 16, lines 53-56, Ishikawa further discloses that "the <u>distance</u> of the mobile station 12 from the base station 11 is estimated by measuring the <u>reception level</u> at the base station 11 of the radio wave transmitted from the mobile

Application/Control Number: 09/596,442

Art Unit: 2617

distance, however, the distance based on the reception level. Therefore, the teaching of Ishikawa inherently teaches the mobile stations are divided into groups based on reception level, and Ishikawa's "reception level" reads on applicant's statistic. In addition, Applicant's specification page 2, lines 22-25 discloses statistic could be signal strength, and Ishikawa's "reception level" reads on Applicant's "signal strength") and c) grouping of radios based on the communication statistic gathered in step (b) (also see column 22, lines 18-22 and Examiner's answer above).

Ishikawa does not specifically disclose determining whether the two or more groups should be reconfigured based on the gather communication statistics, reconfiguring the grouping or radios based on the communication connection statistics gathered in step (b), wherein the communications connection statistics are used to determine the reconfigured radio groupings and wherein each of the reconfigured radio groupings are arranged to share different respective communication channels.

However, since the <u>distances</u> (see Ishikawa, column 16, lines 53-56, the <u>distance</u> based on <u>reception level</u>. Therefore, mobile stations are divided into groups based on <u>reception level</u>, the moving directions, and the moving speeds of the mobile station in the system of Ishikawa varies at time, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Ishikawa such that the group of mobile units are reconfiguring, so that the groups can be associated with the changing <u>distances</u>, the moving directions, and the moving speeds of the mobile station.

Application/Control Number: 09/596,442

Art Unit: 2617

Ishikawa does not specifically disclose <u>if</u> a determination is made that the two or more groups should be reconfigured to allow a reduction in collisions on a communication channel.

Ueda teaches <u>if</u> a determination is made that the two or more groups should be reconfigured to allow a reduction in collisions on a communication channel (column 7, lines 33-47, see "groups of mobile stations" and "colliding". In addition, claimed limitation recit<u>es</u> "if", the term "if" means not actually happen. Therefore, the examiner is not required to respond to).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Ueda into the system of Ishikawa so that channel are prevented from colliding with each other (see Ueda, column 7, lines 33-47).

Regarding claim 2, Ishikawa further teaches comprising the step of: (d) allowing access to the radio communication system based on the grouping of the radios (see column 22, lines 18-22).

Regarding claim 6, Ishikawa further teaches the communication statistic gathered in step (b) comprises the average received signal strength of each of the plurality of radios (Ishikawa, column 22, lines 18-38, see "dividing the mobile stations into a plurality of groups according to the features of the mobile stations such as <u>distances</u>." However, column 16, lines 53-56, Ishikawa further discloses that "the <u>distance</u> of the mobile station 12 from the base station 11 is estimated by measuring the <u>reception level</u> at the base station 11 of the radio wave transmitted from the mobile station 12." Or the

Application/Control Number: 09/596,442

Art Unit: 2617

mobile stations of Ishikawa are divided into groups <u>based on distance</u>, however, the <u>distance based on</u> the <u>reception level</u>. Therefore, the teaching of Ishikawa inherently teaches the mobile stations are divided into groups based on <u>reception level</u>, and Ishikawa's "reception level" reads on applicant's <u>statistic</u>. In addition, Applicant's specification page 2, lines 22-25 discloses "statistic" could be <u>signal strength</u>, and Ishikawa's "reception level" reads on Applicant's "signal strength").

Regarding claim 7, Ishikawa teaches steps b and d. Ishikawa inherently teaches repeating steps (b) through (d) periodically (see rejection of claim 1 above). Since the <u>distances</u> (see column 16, lines 53-56, the <u>distance</u> based on <u>reception level</u>.

Therefore, mobile stations are divided into groups based on <u>reception level</u>), the moving directions, and the moving speeds of the mobile station in the in system of Ishikawa varies at time, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Ishikawa such that repeating steps (b) through (d) periodically, so that the groups can be associated with the changing <u>distances</u>, the moving directions, and the moving speeds of the mobile station (see column 22, lines 18-22).

Regarding claim 9, Ishikawa further teaches the step (b) is performed by a radio communication system controller (see column 7, lines 48-55).

Regarding claim 10, Ishikawa further teaches a step (b) is performed by each of the plurality of radios (see Ishikawa, FIG.1, mobile station 12).

Regarding claim 13, Ishikawa teaches steps (b) and (c) are repeated periodically. Ishikawa inherently teaches repeating steps (b) through (d) periodically (see rejection of claim 1 above). Since the distances, the moving directions, and the moving speeds of

the mobile station in the in system of Ishikawa varies at time, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Ishikawa such that repeating steps (b) through (d) periodically, so that the groups can be associated with the changing distances, the moving directions, and the moving speeds of the mobile station (see column 22 lines 18-22).

Regarding claim 14, Ishikawa further teaches the communication statistic in step (b) is gathered by a central radio communication system resource (see column 1, lines 22-32).

Regarding claim 16, Ishikawa further teaches the steps (b) and (c) are performed at predetermined periods of time (see column 4, lines 33-53).

6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishikawa et al (US 5,666,655) in view of Ueda (US 5,606,727) and further in view of Muller (US 6,438,375).

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Ishikawa and Ueda teaches a method as defined in claim 1. The combination of Ishikawa and Ueda does not specifically disclose the two or more groups of radios established in step (a) can access the radio communication system at specified times which are different for each of the two or more groups.

Muller teaches the two or more groups of radios established in step (a) can access the radio communication system at specified times which are different for each of the two or more groups (see column 3, lines 10-14).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Muller into the system of Ishikawa and Ueda in order to provide a method and apparatus for efficiently communicating different types of control message between a radio network and a mobile radio station (see Muller, column 1, lines 5-10).

7. Claim 3-5, 12 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishikawa et al (US 5,666,655) in view of Ueda (US 5,606,727) and further in view of Official notice.

Regarding claims 3-5 and 17, the combination of Ishikawa and Ueda teaches the communication statistic gathered in step (b) comprises the changing distances, the moving directions, and the moving speeds of the mobile station by each of the plurality of radios (see Ishikawa, column 22, lines 18-22) and reception level (see Ishikawa, column 16, lines 53-56). The combination of Ishikawa and Ueda does not specifically disclose communication statistic gathered in step (b) comprises the average channel usage, or channel accesses per unit time, or priority or talk-time by each of the plurality of radios. However, those skilled in the art would have appreciated that the system of Ishikawa also be used with other statistic such as average channel usage, or channel accesses per unit time, or priority or talk-time by each of the plurality of radios.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Ishikawa and Ueda, so that the communication statistic comprises more features.

Regarding claim 12, the combination of Ishikawa and Ueda teaches a method as defined in claim 1. The combination of Ishikawa and Ueda does not specifically disclose the radio communication system comprises a time division multiple access radio communication system. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that such time division multiple access radio communication system as recited in the claim are known in the art in order to save radio spectrum and permit many simultaneous conversations over a finite frequency.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Ishikawa and Ueda for providing a method as claimed, in order to save radio spectrum and permit many simultaneous conversations over a finite frequency.

8. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishikawa et al (US 5,666,655) in view of Ueda (US 5,606,727) and further in view of Raith (US 6,385,461).

Regarding claim 15, the combination of Ishikawa and Ueda teaches a method as defined in claim 1. The combination of Ishikawa and Ueda does not specifically disclose the communication statistic in step (b) is gathered by each of the plurality of radios.

Raith (US 6,385,461) teaches the communication statistic in step (b) is gathered by each of the plurality of radios (see column 2 lines 33-36 and lines 62-65).

Art Unit: 2617

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Raith into the system of Ishikawa and Ueda in order to individual users with the opportunity to joint group calls at any time (see Raith (US 6,385,461), column 2 lines 25-27).

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nghi H. Ly whose telephone number is (571) 272-7911. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am-5:30 pm Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Appiah can be reached on (571) 272-7904. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nghi H. Ly